Detection and Quantification of Left Atrial Structural Remodeling Using Delayed Enhancement MRI in Patients with Atrial Fibrillation
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Abstract

**Background.** Atrial fibrillation (AF) is associated with diffuse left atrial (LA) fibrosis and a reduction in endocardial voltage. These changes are indicators of AF severity and appear to be predictors of treatment outcome. In this study we report the utility of delayed enhancement MRI (DE-MRI) in detecting abnormal atrial tissue prior to radiofrequency ablation and in predicting procedural outcome.

**Methods.** Eighty-one patients presenting for pulmonary vein antrum isolation (PVAI) for treatment of AF underwent 3D DE-MRI of the LA prior to the ablation. Six healthy volunteers were also scanned. DE-MRI images were manually segmented to isolate the LA and custom software was implemented to quantify the spatial extent of delayed enhancement, which was then compared to the regions of low voltage from electroanatomical maps from the PVAI procedure. Patients were assessed for AF recurrence at least six months following PVAI with average follow-up of 9.6 ± 3.7 months (range = 6 to 19 months).

**Results.** Based on the extent of pre-ablation enhancement, 43 patients were classified as having minimal enhancement (average enhancement = 8.0% ± 4.2%), 30 as moderate (enhancement = 21.3% ± 5.8%), and 8 as extensive (enhancement = 50.1% ± 15.4%). The rate of AF recurrence was 6 patients (14.0%) with minimal enhancement, 13 (43.3%) with moderate and 6 (75%) patients with extensive enhancement (p <0.001).

**Conclusion.** DE-MRI provides a non-invasive means of assessing LA myocardial tissue in patients suffering from AF and might provide insight into the progress of the disease. Pre-ablation DE-MRI holds promise to predict responders to AF ablation and may provide a metric of overall disease progression.
Background

Pulmonary vein antrum isolation (PVAI) is effective in treating patients with paroxysmal, persistent and longstanding persistent forms of atrial fibrillation (AF).\(^1^\text{-}^4\) PVAI helps restore normal sinus rhythm in a majority of patients independent of the effects of antiarrhythmic-drug therapy, cardioversion, or both.\(^5^\text{-}^6\)

Recent breakthroughs in the understanding of pathophysiology of AF have suggested structural and functional characteristics that relate to treatment. This progress was initiated by identifying focal points of electrical activity within the pulmonary veins as a causative factor of the arrhythmia.\(^1\) Subsequent exploration of the left atrial (LA) substrate has suggested that AF may be a self-perpetuating disease wherein chronic or recurrent fibrillatory activation induces progressive electrical and tissue structural remodeling.\(^7^\text{-}^8\) Although the mechanisms underlying the remodeling are complex, the changes in electrical activation manifest as reduction in myocardial voltage and a decrease in the effective refractory period.\(^9^\text{-}^10\) The degree of voltage reduction may help grade the severity of tissue pathology underlying AF and preliminary results suggest that the success of PVAI is reduced when substantial low voltage tissue or pre-existing scar are present.\(^11\) Histological examination of LA tissue has confirmed the presence of fibrosis in regions of low voltage tissue,\(^12\) but determining the extent and location of fibrosis in the LA without using invasive techniques has not been possible. As a result, the effects of such structural remodeling on patient outcome to treatment are poorly understood.

Delayed enhancement MRI (DE-MRI) is an established method for visualizing tissue necrosis in cardiac disease processes including myocardial infarction and myocarditis.\(^13^\text{-}^15\) Contrast enhancement occurs due to altered washout kinetics of gadolinium relative to normal surrounding tissue, which may reflect increased fibrosis or tissue remodeling of the myocardium.\(^13\) In this study, we assessed the feasibility of a new DE-MRI acquisition and processing protocol to detect fibrosis in the LA prior to ablation and its potential to predict PVAI procedural outcome.
Materials and Methods

Patients

*Atrial Fibrillation Patients*

Patients in this study presented to the University of Utah for PVAI of symptomatic AF from December 2006 to January 2008. The study protocol was reviewed and approved by the University of Utah IRB and was HIPAA compliant. During the course of the study, DE-MRI scans were performed on 118 patients. Fifteen of the scans were uninterpretable due to significant wraparound artifact or substantial blurring due to patient motion. An additional 22 patients were removed from analysis due to an incorrect choice of inversion time or other concerns regarding DE-MRI quality, leaving 81 patients in the clinical cohort. Table 1 lists the demographics of the study patients. After informed consent, patients underwent MRI scanning to define pulmonary vein anatomy, LA area, and LA wall thickness. LA appendage thrombus was ruled out via trans-esophageal echocardiogram. Left ventricular ejection fraction was obtained via biplane trans-thoracic echocardiogram. LA volume was determined by segmentation of the blood volume on MRI angiography images.

The baseline AF type was categorized as either paroxysmal AF (episode of AF that self-terminated within seven days) or persistent AF (episode of AF lasting longer than seven days). Patients who required either pharmacological treatment or medical or electrical cardioversion were classified as persistent AF. All antiarrhythmic medications were stopped 24 or 48 hours prior to the procedure. Amiodarone was discontinued at least three month prior to the procedure. The data regarding the patient’s response to antiarrhythmic drugs was assessed through retrospective chart review. Failure to respond to a given medication was defined as having an episode of breakthrough AF while on the antiarrhythmic drug.

*Healthy Volunteers*

Six healthy volunteers without a history of AF or other cardiac arrhythmias also underwent DE-MRI acquisition in the same manner as patients presenting for PVAI. The volunteers included four men and two women with a
mean age of 44.2 ± 21.2 years (range = 26 to 81 years). The volunteers did not undergo electroanatomic (EA) mapping.

**Delayed Enhancement MRI Acquisition**

All patients underwent MRI studies on a 1.5 Tesla Avanto clinical scanner (Siemens Medical Solutions, Erlangen, Germany) using a TIM phased-array receiver coil or 32-channel cardiac coil (Invivo Corp., Gainesville, FL). DE-MRI was acquired approximately 15 minutes after the contrast agent injection (dose = 0.1 mmol per kilogram of body weight [Multihance, Braco Diagnostic Inc., Princeton, NJ]) using 3D inversion-recovery-prepared, respiration navigated, ECG-gated, gradient echo pulse sequence with fat saturation. Typical acquisition parameters were: free-breathing using navigator-gating, a transverse imaging volume with true voxel size=1.25x1.25x2.5 mm, flip angle = 22°, repetition time/echo time = 6.1/2.4 ms, inversion time (TI)=230-320 ms, parallel imaging using GRAPPA technique with R=2 and 42 reference lines. ECG gating was used to acquire a subset of phase encoding views during diastolic phase of the LA cardiac cycle. Typical scan time for the DE-MRI study was 5-9 minutes depending on subject respiration and heart rate. Seventy-three of eighty one patients (90.1%) were in normal sinus rhythm during MRI acquisition. Patients who were in AF at the time of clinical presentation were often cardioverted to restore normal sinus rhythm prior to MRI acquisition. Additional details regarding the MRI acquisition methods and data documenting inter and intra observer variability of the quantification methodology may be found in the technical addendum.

In the volunteer group, the DE-MRI scans were acquired at 15 and again at 30 minutes following contrast injection. In a subset of four patients, a third DE-MRI scan was acquired 45 minutes following contrast injection. In total, 16 DE-MRI scans from the healthy volunteers were acquired and analyzed. Image processing and quantification was performed in the same manner as for AF patients.

**Three Dimensional Electroanatomic Mapping**

At the beginning of the PVAI procedure, a detailed voltage map of the LA was obtained in all patients using the three-dimensional EA mapping system CARTOMERGE (Biosense Webster, Diamond Bar, CA). The
physician performing the PVAI procedure was blinded to the DE-MRI results. Mapping was performed in sinus rhythm whenever possible. Efforts were made to distribute measurement points evenly throughout the LA and bipolar voltage was measured from peak to peak with the signal filtered from 30 to 400 Hz. Endocardial contact of the mapping catheter (Navistar-ThermoCool, Biosense Webster) was confirmed visually using fluoroscopy and intracardiac echocardiography (ICE) as well as through the CARTO 3-D navigation system to indicate that the catheter was stable in space and in good contact with the LA wall. Forty-eight of eighty-one patients (59.3%) were in normal sinus rhythm during EA mapping, 27/81 patients (33.3%) were in AF during EA mapping and 6/81 (7.4%) were in atrial flutter.

Atrial Fibrillation Ablation Procedure

Ablation was performed under ICE in all study patients as described previously. Briefly, a 10 F, 64 element, phased array ultrasound catheter (AcuNav, Siemens Medical Solutions USA, Inc) was used to visualize the inter-atrial septum and to guide the trans-septal puncture. A circular mapping catheter (Lasso, Biosense Webster) and an ablation catheter were inserted into the LA. ICE was used to define the PV ostia and their antra as well as the posterior wall. ICE was additionally used to position the circular mapping catheter and ablation catheter. All study patients underwent PVAI, defined as electrical disconnection of the PV-antrum from the LA together with posterior wall and septal debulking.

Follow-Up

Following the procedure, all patients were observed on a telemetry unit for 24 hours. Following discharge, patients underwent 8 weeks of patient-triggered and auto-detected event monitoring and were instructed to activate the monitors any time they felt symptoms. Patients continued anti-coagulation therapy with warfarin (international normalized ratio of 2.0-3.0) for a minimum of three months. Patients were assessed for AF recurrence at three months, six months, and one year after the procedure. The average follow-up in this study was 9.6 ± 3.7 months (range 6 to 19 months).
Procedural success was defined as freedom from AF, atrial tachycardia, and atrial flutter while off of antiarrhythmic medications three months following PVAI (i.e., blanking period of 90 days). To confirm the absence of asymptomatic AF, all patients received a 48-hour Holter ECG recording within 24 hours following the procedure and an 8-day Holter ECG at 3, 6, and 12 month follow-up. Recurrences were therefore determined from patient reporting, event monitoring, Holter monitoring and ECG data and were defined as any symptomatic or asymptomatic detected episode of AF, atrial tachycardia, or atrial flutter lasting > 30 seconds.

**Analysis of DE-MRI Images**

Three-dimensional visualization and segmentation of the MRI was performed using OsiriX 2.7.5. The LA was segmented manually in all patients and verified visually in the original image stack prior to rendering. Initial visualization used a Maximum Intensity Projection (MIP) to assess contrast consistency followed by volume rendering using a ray-cast engine with linear table opacity. A Color Look-Up Table (CLUT) mask was applied in order to better differentiate between enhanced and non-enhanced tissue.

*Image Quantification.* In all images, the epicardial and endocardial borders were manually contoured using image display and analysis software written in MATLAB (The Mathworks Inc. Natick, MA). The relative extent of fibrosis was quantified within the LA wall using a threshold based algorithm. Patients were assigned to one of three groups based on the extent (percentage of LA myocardium) enhancement. The extent of enhancement was entered into analysis as a categorical variable. Patients with mild enhancement showed abnormal enhancement in less than 15% of the LA wall. Moderate enhancement was considered to be between 15% and 35% of the LA wall. Extensive enhancement was considered to be greater than 35% LA wall enhancement. LA volume was also entered into the predictive model as a categorical variable with patients divided into four separate groups based on the quartile cut-off points. Quartile 1 included patients with LA volume < 59.89 mL, quartile 2 included patients with LA volume between 59.9 and 85.9 mL, quartile 3 included patients with LA volume between 85.91 to 116.12 mL, and quartile 4 included patients with LA volume > 116.13 mL.
**Correlation with Electroanatomical Maps.** A quantitative and qualitative analysis was performed to correlate low voltage regions on EA maps and enhancement on DE-MRI. Fifty-four patients with high quality CartoXP maps (defined as greater than 100 voltage points evenly spread throughout the atrium) were selected. The LA on the EA map and 3D DE-MRI was subdivided into 18 specific regions; 9 on the posterior wall and 9 on the anterior and septal wall. Four blinded reviewers (two experts in cardiac MRI and two experts in AF ablation) scored the MRI models and EA maps on a 0 to 3 scale. For MRI models, 0 was no enhancement, 1 was mild, 2 was moderate, and 3 was extensive enhancement. For the EA maps, 0 was considered healthy tissue (voltage > 1 mV, purple on EA maps), 1 was mild illness (voltage between > 0.1 mV and < 0.5 mV), 2 was moderate illness (presence of low voltage tissue [voltage > 0.1 mV and < 0.5 mV] as well as fibrotic scar [voltage < 0.1 mV]), and 3 was considered diseased tissue with significant scarring (voltage < 0.1 mV, red on EA maps). The overall score was an average sum of all nine regions for both the posterior wall and the septum.

The reviewers then qualitatively assessed the relationship between EA maps and MRI models. The relationship was rated on a 0 to 4 scale where 0 was coded as “No Relationship,” 1 was coded as “Poor”, 2 was graded as “Mediocre”, 3 as “Good”, and 4 as “Excellent”.

**Statistical Analysis**

Normal continuous variables are presented as mean ± standard deviation. Continuous data were analyzed by one-way ANOVA to test for significant differences. Recurrence was analyzed in a time to event Cox regression model. Recurrence after the blanking period was considered the failure variable, category of fibrosis (mild, moderate, severe) was considered the predictor variable and available follow up duration was used as the time variable. A test of the proportional hazards, a required assumption of Cox regression, was performed for each covariate and globally using a formal significance test based on the unscaled and scaled Schoenfeld residuals. A quantitative analysis of the relationship between DE-MRI and EA maps was performed using linear regression.

Multivariate analysis was conducted using a logistic regression model reporting odds ratios. Predictor
variables included extent of LA wall enhancement, LA volume, AF type and age. Differences were considered significant at $p < 0.05$. Statistical analysis was performed using the SPSS 15.0 Statistical Package (SPSS Inc.; Chicago, IL), STATA 9 (StataCorp; College Station, TX), and Microsoft Excel 2007 (Microsoft corporation; Redmond, WA). In addition, a Harrell’s c-statistic was calculated for the Cox regression model.\textsuperscript{21}

The authors had full access to the data and take responsibility for its integrity. All authors have read and agree to the manuscript as written.

**Results**

**Patients**

Eighty-one patients underwent PVAI for treatment of AF. Forty-one patients were classified as paroxysmal AF and 40 patients as persistent AF. Forty-three patients were identified with mild enhancement, 30 with moderate enhancement and 8 with extensive enhancement. Table 1 lists the patient demographics for the three patient groups and overall demographics for the clinical cohort. Twenty-five patients were placed back on antiarrhythmic medications following the procedure and continued therapy for a total of eight weeks following the procedure.

Among the healthy volunteers, the average extent of LA wall enhancement was $1.7\% \pm 0.3\%$. In the 43 patients classified as having mild LA enhancement, the average LA wall enhancement was $8.0\% \pm 4.2\%$. In the 30 patients with moderate enhancement, average LA wall enhancement was $21.3\% \pm 5.8\%$. In the 8 patients with extensive enhancement, the average LA wall enhancement was significantly higher at $50.1\% \pm 15.4\%$. All patients with extensive enhancement presented with persistent AF. While all groups had similar population characteristics at baseline, a statistically significant difference in LA volume was noted between those with mild or moderate enhancement and individuals with extensive enhancement ($p < 0.001$).
Delayed Enhancement MRI and Electroanatomic Maps

DE-MRI detected enhancement in all patients presenting for PVAI. Figure 1 shows the 3D segmented MRI (Figure 1A) and color model (Figure 1B) for one patient. Discrete patches of enhancement/fibrosis (green) can be seen in the posterior wall (PA view) and septum (RAO view) on both the MRI and the EA map. In comparison, the healthy volunteers showed little to no abnormal enhancement. Figure 3 shows MRI models for two individuals that lacked the type of enhancement seen in patients with AF.

Figure 4 shows 3D MRI models in patients with mild structural remodeling. The minimal contrast is suggestive of largely viable and electrically normal atrial myocardium, a finding verified when compared to EA maps obtained during the procedure (Figure 4D). In all patients, a correlation between regions of enhancement on DE-MRI and low voltage regions on EA maps was seen (Figures 1, 4-6). Quantitative analysis of this relationship demonstrated a positive correlation of $R^2 = 0.61$ (Figure 2).

In addition to the extent of LA wall enhancement, the primary location of enhancement differed among the three patient groups. Among patients with mild and moderate LA wall enhancement, it was primarily seen in the posterior wall and inter-atrial septum (Figure 4-5). Among patients with extensive low voltage tissue (Figure 6), enhancement was seen in all portions of the LA wall including the posterior wall, inter-atrial septum, and anterior wall. This difference resulted in a large statistically significant difference in the location of LA wall enhancement ($p < 0.001$). When compared to the EA maps, two distinct patterns emerged: some patients exhibited continuous regions of enhancement (Figure 5, Patient 1) while others showed a scattered pattern enhancement (Figure 5, Patient 2).

DE-MRI Quantification and Patient Outcome

Fifty-six of eighty-one patients (69.1%) remained free of AF recurrence while off anti-arrhythmic drugs. Only 6 patients (14.0%) with minimal enhancement suffered AF recurrence while 13 (43.3%) of the moderate and 6 (75%) of the extensive group suffered AF recurrence (Cox regression, $p < 0.05$). Patients who suffered AF
recurrences were placed back on anti-arrhythmic drugs and of these, 21/25 (84%) responded favorably to anti-arrhythmic drug therapy post-ablation and maintained normal sinus rhythm.

Prior to ablation, 70 patients were tried on anti-arrhythmic drugs. 32 patients responded favorably to anti-arrhythmic drugs and 38 patients did not. A statistically significant difference in the extent of LA enhancement was also noted between patients who responded to medical therapy (13.3% ± 9.9%) versus those who did not (21.2% ± 18.7%; Logistic regression p = 0.038). The extent of delayed enhancement as a single predictor achieved a c-statistic of 0.62. Table 3 shows that the extent of LA wall enhancement was the strongest predictor of response to rhythm control response with anti-arrhythmic drugs and ablation.

Figure 7 shows the Cox regression analysis of patients in normal sinus rhythm following ablation of the LA grouped by the extent of enhancement. In addition to the overall differences in AF recurrence, patients with moderate and extensive enhancement often suffered recurrence at later time points than those with mild enhancement. Of special note, after the six month follow-up, no recurrences were noted in the mild enhancement group.

The cutoff points between mild enhancement and moderate enhancement (15%) and between moderate and extensive enhancement (35%) were chosen after manual review of the data distribution—and prior to outcome analysis—as natural breakpoints between populations.

**Multivariate Model**

Table 3 shows the results of the three multivariate models. For all three outcome metrics of interest, the extent of LA wall enhancement was the most statistically significant predictor. For baseline AF, both the extent of LA wall enhancement and LA volume remained as statistically significant predictors of persistent forms of the arrhythmia though extent of LA wall enhancement had a greater adjusted odds ratio (Adj OR = 3.47; 95% CI = [1.32, 9.16]) than LA volume (Adj OR = 1.02, 95% CI = [1.01, 1.04]). This finding may reflect the fact that both variables likely have a degree of correlation with one another; they are both predictors of severe and persistent forms of the disease. Extent of LA wall enhancement was the most statistically significant predictor
of the patient’s response to both drug and ablation therapies for AF. After controlling for the effect of LA wall enhancement in the drug therapy model, none of the other variables achieved statistical significance.

**Discussion**

In this study, we describe a novel, non-invasive method of using DE-MRI to detect pathologic regions of LA tissue in patients with AF. Our results also indicate that an increased amount of enhancement within the LA is strongly associated with AF recurrence following PVAI. If substantiated, this method would provide guidance in determining appropriate candidates for catheter ablation of AF.

The results presented here also correlate well with other studies that considered pre-existent LA low voltage tissue and scarring (determined by invasive EP study) as an independent predictor of procedural failure and eventual AF recurrence.11 Our results also demonstrate that not only the extent but also the locations of LA enhancement appear to be important predictors of ablation success (Table 2). Patients who suffered recurrent AF showed enhancement in all portions of the LA while patients who responded successfully to ablation showed enhancement primarily limited to the posterior wall and septum.

The presence of fibrosis/low voltage tissue has been postulated as a potential cause of the abnormalities in atrial activation that may underlie the initiation and maintenance of fibrillation.22, 23 Animal studies have confirmed an increased tendency for AF when atrial fibrosis is experimentally induced.24-26 Increased fibrosis has also been clearly demonstrated in human LA tissue specimens of patients with AF 27-28 and correlations have been seen between serum markers of atrially selective fibroblasts and clinical AF.29 Other studies have shown that atrial fibrosis can lead to AF induction by burst or premature atrial pacing that would otherwise fail to cause AF in normal hearts.25, 30 Spatial distribution and degree of fibrosis/low voltage tissue appears to have an important influence in fibrillatory dynamics, including both the location and variability of wavefront breakthroughs.31 By altering the LA substrate, it is therefore likely that fibrotic change and structural remodeling aid in the formation of circuits needed for re-entry, thus perpetuating the atrial arrhythmia. These
findings are consistent with the trends noted in this study. In multivariate analysis, the extent of LA wall enhancement seemed to be most associated with the more persistent form of the atrial arrhythmia (Table 3).

DE-MRI is a well-established method for characterizing fibrosis and tissue remodeling in the ventricle. It is commonly employed to characterize tissue heterogeneity in ventricular myocardium that may increase arrhythmia generation and to differentiate hibernating muscle from nonviable tissue in the setting of myocardial ischemia. Despite its success, however, the use of DE-MRI has largely been confined to the ventricle due to the challenges in spatial resolution required to image the LA wall. This study presents an imaging methodology for successfully obtaining DE-MRI scans with sufficient spatial resolution and signal to noise ratio for visualization and analysis of LA tissue. In addition to its non-invasive nature, DE-MRI offers other advantages over invasive EA mapping studies to assess LA tissue health. For example, CARTO based mapping studies have been associated with a high degree of spatial error, from 0.5 to 1.0 cm, in comparative studies. In contrast, reconstruction utilizing DE-MRI provides information regarding both anatomy and the location of pathology without spatial distortion.

AF is a progressive disease, which suggest the presence of a self-perpetuating cycle and there is evidence that causality between fibrillation and fibrosis may be bidirectional. Rapidly paced cardiac myocytes have been shown to release factors that induce a nearly four-fold increase in collagen-1 and fibronectin-1 in atrial tissue. In this study, patients suffering recurrence exhibited a significant difference in the amount of structural remodeling as compared to individuals without recurrence. This observation helps corroborate the link between the degree of fibrosis and the disease severity in AF. In our study, patients with extensive enhancement presented exclusively with persistent forms of the disease. Further, multivariate analysis demonstrated that the greatest degree of variance for ablation outcome and response to medical therapy were explained by the degree of fibrotic enhancement in the LA wall (Table 3). This and the other associated findings therefore present a disease model that supports the importance of early intervention.
Determining the extent of low voltage tissue prior to ablative treatment provides an opportunity to characterize the stage of disease in patients with AF. Based upon the results of this study, ablative treatment of AF in patients with extensive LA enhancement should be offered with a reduced expectation of long-term success. Additional research is necessary to determine whether ablation represents a viable treatment option in patients with extensive enhancement or whether additional medical therapy should be further investigated in these patients.\textsuperscript{11} DE-MRI screening will likely allow for better patient selection and may aid in identifying candidates for repeat procedures who still have patches of tissue suitable for ablation.

**Study Limitations**

Though statistically significant differences in the degree of enhancement were seen between patients with paroxysmal and persistent AF, those patients who responded to medical therapy and those who did not, and patients who suffered a recurrence of AF; the sample size is relatively small and these findings will need to be verified in larger patient cohorts. Larger studies are also needed to improve the value of the c-statistic in order to make it a stronger prognostic indicator in clinical practice. In addition, MR imaging in this study was performed on a 1.5 Tesla scanner and significant improvements in LA wall imaging with greater spatial resolution and improved signal to noise ratio are expected at higher magnetic field (3 Tesla). The presence of respiratory navigator artifacts and other MRI noise may lead to the inappropriate detection and quantification of fibrosis, though such effects appeared to be minimal in this study. Finally, the algorithm used to detect and quantify fibrosis requires an experienced observer to choose threshold levels.

**Conclusion**

Delayed Enhancement MRI of the left atrium coupled with advanced image analysis techniques provides a non-invasive method for quantifying and localizing left atrial changes associated with atrial fibrillation. Patients with a greater extent of delayed enhancement in the left atrial wall suffer much higher recurrence rates after PVAI for atrial fibrillation. Delayed enhancement MRI holds great promise to guide physicians in recommending catheter ablation or medical management for patients with atrial fibrillation.
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Figures Legends

Figure 1. MRI relationship with EA map in posterior (PA) and right anterior oblique (RAO) views. (A) Segmented DE-MRI reveals discrete areas of enhancement in the posterior wall and the septal area. (B) Color 3D models improve dynamic range and better illuminate enhancement patterns. (C) EA map acquired during invasive EP study. Discrete patterns of low voltage (within bounded white lines) were detected in the left posterior wall and the septum in the patient shown which correlate with the regions of DE-MRI enhancement.
Figure 2. Correlation between enhancement on DE-MRI and low voltage regions on EA Map. Linear regression between the extent of enhancement seen on 18 segmented LA models of DE-MRI and the amount of low voltage tissue seen on 18 segmented EA map graded by blinded reviewers.

R² = 0.61
p < .05
95% CI [0.83-1.3]
Figure 3. Three Dimensional MRI models for Two Healthy Volunteers. (A) Two dimensional slice from the DE-MRI scan. (B) Posterior (PA) view of reconstructed 3D MRI model. (C) Right anterior oblique (RAO) view of the 3D MRI model which shows the inter-atrial septum and the anterior wall. In all volunteers, MRI reveals uniform LA tissue enhancement.
Figure 4. Three-Dimensional MRI Models in Two Patients with Mild Structural Remodeling. (A) Two dimensional slice from DE-MRI scan. (B) 3D DE-MRI reveals minimal contrast enhancement. (C) 3D color models. (D) EA map showing electrically normal (purple) and abnormal (colored) atrial tissue. The EA map illustrates homogeneous voltages throughout much of the left atrium with small patches of electrically abnormal/low voltage tissue in nearly all patients who successfully responded to PVAI therapy. Abnormally enhanced regions on MRI correlate closely with low voltage areas on the EA maps.
Figure 5. Three-Dimensional MRI Models in Two Patients with Moderate Structural Remodeling. (A) Two dimensional slice from DE-MRI scan. (B) Segmented DE-MRI reveals increased enhancement in portions of the poster LA wall. (C) MRI images as color 3D models clearly show large regions of abnormal enhancement (green) in comparison to healthy tissue (blue). (D) EA map shows large patches of electrically normal (purple) and abnormal tissue (colored). Electrically non-viable (scar) tissue is shown in red. The most substantial enhancement appears in the posterior wall of the LA, which correlates with the enhancement seen on MRI.
Figure 6. Three-Dimensional MRI Models in Two Patients with Extensive Structural Remodeling. Both patients shown suffered a recurrence of atrial fibrillation. (A) Two dimensional slice from DE-MRI scan. (B) Segmented DE-MRI reveals large amounts of enhancement in various regions of the LA including anterior wall, posterior wall and septum. (C) MRI images as color 3D models show abnormally enhanced regions (green). (D) EA maps show large regions of electrically non-viable tissue (fibrotic scar) in red interspersed with electrically abnormal tissue (colored).
Figure 7. Patients in normal sinus rhythm following ablation of the left atrium. Cox regression curves for patients with mild enhancement (blue), moderate enhancement (green) and extensive enhancement (red) are shown. The mean follow-up was $9.6 \pm 3.7$ months (range = 6 to 19 months).
## Tables

### Table 1. Patient Population Characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Mild Enhancement (n=43)</th>
<th>Moderate Enhancement (n=30)</th>
<th>Extensive Enhancement (n=8)</th>
<th>P-Value *</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age (years)</strong></td>
<td>63.6 ± 12.0</td>
<td>63.3 ± 12.3</td>
<td>62.2 ± 12.5</td>
<td>70.1 ± 6.0</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Left Ventricle Ejection Fraction</strong></td>
<td>52.3 ± 9.8</td>
<td>53.3 ± 10.3</td>
<td>52.4 ± 8.8</td>
<td>46.4 ± 9.0</td>
<td>0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Left Atrium Volume – Pre-procedure (cm³)</strong></td>
<td>94.3 ± 41.3</td>
<td>83.7 ± 29.4</td>
<td>98.5 ± 48.3</td>
<td>142.1 ± 36.9</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Mild Enhancement (n=43)</th>
<th>Moderate Enhancement (n=30)</th>
<th>Extensive Enhancement (n=8)</th>
<th>P-Value *</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Gender</strong></td>
<td>52 (64.2%)</td>
<td>30 (69.8%)</td>
<td>18 (60.0%)</td>
<td>4 (50.0%)</td>
<td>0.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>29 (35.8%)</td>
<td>13 (30.2%)</td>
<td>12 (40.0%)</td>
<td>4 (50.0%)</td>
<td>0.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>23 (44.8%)</td>
<td>17 (37.2%)</td>
<td>6 (20.0%)</td>
<td>0 (0.0%)</td>
<td>0.49</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Mild Enhancement (n=43)</th>
<th>Moderate Enhancement (n=30)</th>
<th>Extensive Enhancement (n=8)</th>
<th>P-Value *</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Hypertension</strong></td>
<td>42 (51.9%)</td>
<td>25 (58.1%)</td>
<td>13 (43.3%)</td>
<td>4 (50.0%)</td>
<td>0.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Diabetes</strong></td>
<td>10 (12.3%)</td>
<td>4 (9.3%)</td>
<td>4 (13.3%)</td>
<td>2 (25.0%)</td>
<td>0.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Coronary Artery Disease</strong></td>
<td>9 (11.1%)</td>
<td>5 (11.6%)</td>
<td>3 (10.0%)</td>
<td>1 (12.5%)</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>History of Smoking</strong></td>
<td>9 (11.1%)</td>
<td>6 (14.0%)</td>
<td>1 (3.3%)</td>
<td>2 (25.0%)</td>
<td>0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Valve Surgery</strong></td>
<td>3 (3.7%)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1 (3.3%)</td>
<td>2 (25.0%)</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Myocardial Infarct</strong></td>
<td>2 (2.5%)</td>
<td>2 (4.7%)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.60</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Medications at the Time of Ablation **

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Mild Enhancement (n=43)</th>
<th>Moderate Enhancement (n=30)</th>
<th>Extensive Enhancement (n=8)</th>
<th>P-Value *</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Antiarythmic Medications</strong></td>
<td>22 (27.2%)</td>
<td>9 (20.9%)</td>
<td>11 (36.6%)</td>
<td>2 (25.0%)</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amiodarone</td>
<td>15 (18.5%)</td>
<td>8 (18.6%)</td>
<td>4 (13.3%)</td>
<td>3 (37.5%)</td>
<td>0.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Digoxin</td>
<td>12 (14.8%)</td>
<td>6 (14.0%)</td>
<td>5 (16.7%)</td>
<td>1 (12.5%)</td>
<td>0.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beta Blockers</td>
<td>42 (%)</td>
<td>23 (53.4%)</td>
<td>15 (50.0%)</td>
<td>4 (50.0%)</td>
<td>0.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calcium Channel Blockers</td>
<td>10 (12.3%)</td>
<td>5 (11.6%)</td>
<td>3 (10.0%)</td>
<td>2 (25.0%)</td>
<td>0.52</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Response to Antiarythmic Medications

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Mild Enhancement (n=43)</th>
<th>Moderate Enhancement (n=30)</th>
<th>Extensive Enhancement (n=8)</th>
<th>P-Value *</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Failed One or More Medications</td>
<td>32 (39.5%)</td>
<td>14 (32.6%)</td>
<td>12 (40.0%)</td>
<td>6 (75.0%)</td>
<td>0.080</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Continuous measurements are presented as mean ± standard deviation. Categorical measurements are presented as number positive for the condition and percentage of the total. Significance tests for demographic characteristics used One-Way ANOVA to detect statistically significant differences across continuous measurements. Fisher exact tests were used for categorical measurements.

** Many patients were on multiple medications prior to ablative treatment. The reported numbers and percentages add to more than 100%. Patients being treated on Amiodarone had it discontinued at least one month prior to the ablation procedure.
Table 2. Results of DE-MRI Analysis and Patient Outcome

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Mild Enhancement (n=43)</th>
<th>Moderate Enhancement (n=30)</th>
<th>Extensive Enhancement (n=8)</th>
<th>P-Value *</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Extent of Structural Remodeling (% of LA Volume)</td>
<td>17.1 ± 14.2</td>
<td>8.0 ± 4.3</td>
<td>21.3 ± 5.8</td>
<td>50.1 ± 15.4</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Location of Enhancement (&gt; 50% of Surface Enhanced)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LA Posterior Wall</td>
<td>51 (63.0%)</td>
<td>18 (41.9%)</td>
<td>25 (83.3%)</td>
<td>8 (100.0%)</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LA Anterior Wall</td>
<td>13 (16.0%)</td>
<td>3 (7.0%)</td>
<td>2 (6.7%)</td>
<td>8 (100.0%)</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atrial Septum</td>
<td>24 (29.6%)</td>
<td>7 (16.3%)</td>
<td>9 (30.0%)</td>
<td>8 (100.0%)</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type of Atrial Fibrillation - Baseline</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paroxysmal</td>
<td>41 (50.6%)</td>
<td>28 (65.1%)</td>
<td>13 (43.3%)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Persistent</td>
<td>40 (49.4%)</td>
<td>15 (25.6%)</td>
<td>17 (56.7%)</td>
<td>8 (100%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recurrence</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time to recurrence analysis using Cox regression</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hazard Ratio</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95% CI</td>
<td>1.39-4.08</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 3. Results of Multivariate Analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Predictors</th>
<th>Baseline AF Type* (n = 81; 40 paroxysmal/41 persistent)</th>
<th>Response to Antiarrhythmic Drug Therapy (n = 70; 32 favorable)</th>
<th>Successful AF Ablation (n = 81; 56 successful)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>P-Value Adjusted Odds Ratio 95% CI</td>
<td>P-Value Adjusted Odds Ratio 95% CI</td>
<td>P-Value Adjusted Odds Ratio 95% CI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extent of LA Wall Enhancement **</td>
<td>0.01 3.47 [1.32-9.16]</td>
<td>0.01 3.14 [1.32-7.49]</td>
<td>&lt;0.01 4.88 [1.73-13.74]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LA Volume †</td>
<td>&lt;0.01 1.02 [1.01-1.04]</td>
<td>0.21 0.99 [0.97-1.01]</td>
<td>0.01 1.02 [1.00-1.05]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseline Atrial Fibrillation Type ††</td>
<td>- - - - - -</td>
<td>0.96 0.97 [0.29-3.19]</td>
<td>0.04 0.21 [0.05-0.96]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>0.71 1.01 [0.96-1.05]</td>
<td>- - - - - -</td>
<td>- - - - - -</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* The baseline AF type calculated was considered as paroxysmal or persistent AF.

** The extent of enhancement was entered into analysis as a categorical variable. Patients with mild enhancement showed abnormal enhancement in less than 15% of the LA wall. Moderate enhancement was considered to be between 15% and 25% abnormal enhancement. Extensive enhancement was considered to be greater than 35% LA wall enhancement.

† LA volume was entered into the predictive model as a categorical variable. Patients were divided into four separate groups by the quartiles. Quartile 1 included patients with LA volume < 59.87 mL, quartile 2 was from 59.9 to 85.9 mL, quartile 3 included patients from 85.91 to 116.12 mL, and quartile 4 included patients with LA volume > 116.13 mL.

†† The baseline atrial fibrillation type (Paroxysmal/Persistent) was only included in predictive models for response to ablation and medical therapy.
Delayed Enhancement MRI Acquisition – Detailed Parameters

Patients who were referred to the University of Utah for pulmonary vein antrum isolation (PVAI) were included in this analysis. In all patients, a contrast enhanced 3D FLASH angiography sequence and a cine true-FISP sequence were used to define the anatomy of the left atrium (LA) and the pulmonary veins (PV). To depict fibrous regions of the left atrium, delayed enhancement MRI was acquired approximately 15 minutes after contrast agent injection using a 3D inversion recovery prepared, respiration navigated, ECG gated, gradient echo pulse sequence. The sequence was based upon previously reported work.\textsuperscript{1,2} Typical acquisition parameters were: free-breathing using a respiratory navigator with a 6 mm acceptance window, a transverse imaging volume with voxel size = 1.25 x 1.25 x 2.5 mm (which was then reconstructed to 0.625 x 0.625 x 1.25 for analysis), TR/TE = 6.3/2.3 ms, TI = 230-270 ms, flip angle = 22°, bandwidth = 220 Hz/pixel, 1 RR interval between inversion pulses, phase encoding in right-left direction, parallel imaging using the GRAPPA technique with R = 2 and 32 reference lines, partial Fourier acquisition with 0.875 factors in the phase-encoding direction and a 0.8 factor in the slice-encoding direction.

ECG gating was used to acquire a small subset of phase encoding views and during the diastolic phase of the left atrial cardiac cycle. The time interval between the R-peak of the ECG and the start of the data acquisition was defined by examining the cine images of the left atrium to determine the period of minimal left atrial motion. The typical value of the interval was 60% of the mean RR interval for patients in sinus rhythm and 50% of the mean RR for patients with non-regular heart rate. The respiratory navigator was used to acquire data during the end of the expiration phase the respiratory cycle. To reduce the negative effect of respiration of image quality and resolution, the navigator was positioned on the right hemi-diaphragm. The acceptance window was set to ± 3 mm. The typical LA motion due to respiration is predominantly in the superior/inferior (S/I) direction. This motion has lower amplitude than the corresponding diaphragm motion. From our observations, we have noted that the typical LA motion amplitude in the S/I direction is about two times smaller
than the diaphragm S/I displacement. Thus, the data acquisition for the delayed enhancement pulse sequence was active only if the LA displacement was less than 1.5 mm from the baseline. The voxel size (spatial resolution) of our pulse sequence in the S/I direction 2.5 mm. Therefore, data was only acquired if the LA displacement in the S/I direction was less than half of the voxel size in the same direction.

To resolve the effect of the left atrial motion due to cardiac activity on image quality and resolution, data was acquired only during the diastolic phase of the LA. Cine images of the LA were used to identify the time interval when the LA motion was minimal. The parameters of the delayed enhancement pulse sequence were adjusted so that the data acquisition occurred during this time interval. It was further restricted to approximately 120 ms per heartbeat.

Fat saturation was used to suppress the fat signal. The TE of the scan was chosen such that the signal intensity of partial volume fat-tissue voxels was reduced allowing improved definition of the left atrial wall boundary. The TI value for the DE-MRI scan was identified using a scout scan. The typical scan time for the DE-MRI study was between 5 to 9 minutes depending on the subject respiration and heart rate.

Many of the early patient scans included some high signal artifact induced by the respiratory navigator positioned on the right hemi-diaphragm. Figure A1 shows an example of such a scan where it is possible to see navigator induced bright blood signal in right pulmonary veins, the most common location of the artifact. However, despite the presence of navigator artifact, there is a strong qualitative relationship between the color MRI model (Figure A1c) and the electroanatomic map acquired during the catheter study (Figure A1d). To remove the artifact complementary re-inversion RF pulse was removed from the product implementation of the navigation scheme and navigation information was acquired following imaging data. The change preserves the inversion recovery magnetization preparation in the whole image volume and results in a more uniform blood signal in the pulmonary vein and left atrium. Figure A2 shows an example of a later scan without the navigator artifact.
Analysis of Delayed Enhancement-MRI Images

The threshold for fibrosis identification was determined for each patient individually by using a dynamic threshold algorithm based partly on work in the left ventricle. Figure A3 provides an overview of the data processing steps of the algorithm. First, slices from the DE-MRI scan are windowed and cropped. The epicardial and endocardial borders are then manually traced to isolate the walls of the left atrium. The algorithm then automatically selects a threshold intensity which is likely to correspond to the enhanced/fibrotic voxels of the left atrium by estimating the mean value and the standard deviation of the “normal” tissue.

“Normal” tissue is defined as the lower region of the pixel intensity histogram between 2% and 40% of the maximum intensity within the region of interest (e.g., the left atrial wall). The enhanced/fibrotic threshold was then calculated as two to four standard deviations above the mean of “normal.” These values cover from 95% to 99.994% of a Gaussian distribution. The threshold was determined on a slice by slice basis and the region identified as fibrotic was then compared to the original DE-MRI slice for appropriateness. The most commonly used cutoff was three standard deviations.

The overall volume of the LA myocardium was calculated as the number of voxels within the endocardial and epicardial contours. The extent of enhancement was then calculated as the number of pixels identified as enhanced by the semi-automated algorithm over the volume of the left atrial myocardium for the slice.

Inter and Intra-Observer Agreement

Inter-observer Agreement

For interobserver agreement, observers 1 and 2 both analyzed a subset of 43 patients from the clinical cohort with high quality DE-MRI scans. The two observers were blinded to the results obtained by the other observer and analyzed the studies by following a set protocol. First, the endocardial contour was traced and care was taken to avoid the pulmonary veins. Second, the epicardial contour was traced. The data was then quantified using the semi-automated algorithm by a third individual according to the described methodology.
The limits of agreement were calculated by Bland-Altman analysis. The difference between the amount of enhancement detected from the segmentation of observer 1 and observer 2 was taken and plotted against the average detected enhancement from the segmentations of observers one and two. The average difference and 95% confidence interval (limits of agreement [LOA]) were calculated from these plots. Figure A4 shows the Bland-Altman plot for the inter-observer agreement of detected LA wall enhancement in forty-three patients. The average difference was -0.9% (LOA = -7.9% to 6.1%).

Intra-observer Agreement

The intra-observer agreement was calculated from a set of 10 patients which were segmented two times by the same observer. The average difference and LOA were calculated in a manner similar to that described for the inter-observer agreement. The difference between segmentation 1 and segmentation 2 from the same observer was determined and plotted against the average detected enhancement. Figure A5 shows the Bland-Altman plot for the intra-observer agreement of detected LA wall enhancement in the ten patients. The average difference was 0.49% (LOA = -4.96% to 5.95%).

Relationship between EA Maps and MRI Volume Models

Qualitative Assessment

A trained expert qualitatively assessed and graded the relationship between EA maps and MRI color models. The relationship was rated on a 0 to 4 scale where 0 was coded as “No Relationship,” 1 was coded as “Poor”, 2 was graded as “Mediocre”, 3 as “Good”, and 4 as “Excellent”. The average relationship between EA maps and MRI models was 3.65 ± 0.55 (range 2 to 4). Figure A6 shows an example of a strong qualitative MRI correlation with the corresponding EA map. The region of low voltage tissue has been highlighted in white on the electroanatomic map.

Quantitative Assessment

Fifty-four patients with high quality CartoXP maps (defined as greater than 100 voltage points evenly spread throughout the atrium) were selected and scored by blinded reviewers. The same was done using three
dimensionally rendered DE-MRI models. The LA was then subdivided into 18 specific regions (9 on the posterior wall) and 9 on the anterior and septal wall. Figure A6 shows the posterior wall projections of a DE-MRI volume model and electroanatomic map for the same patient. In both images, the 9 box grid used for scoring has been applied.

Four blinded reviewers (two individuals experienced in cardiac MRI and two individuals experienced in atrial fibrillation ablation) separately scored the MRI models and electroanatomic maps. Two views of interest, the posterior (PA) and right anterior oblique which shows the anterior wall and septum [RAO]), were chosen for scoring.

The images were scored on a 0 to 3 scale. For MRI models, 0 was scored as no enhancement, 1 as mild enhancement, 2 as moderate, and 3 as extensive enhancement. For EA maps, 0 was considered healthy tissue (voltage > 1 mV, purple on EA maps), 1 was considered as mild illness (some abnormal tissue where voltage was > 0.1 mV and < 0.5 mV), 2 as moderate illness (presence of low voltage tissue [voltage > 0.1 mV and < 0.5 mV] as well as fibrotic scar [voltage < 0.1 mV]), and 3 as scar (voltage < 0.1 mV, red on EA maps). The overall score was a sum of all nine regions for both the posterior wall and the septum. The reviewer scores were then averaged to determine the score used in quantitative analysis. The relationship between EA maps and MRI was then analyzed using pairwise regression.

Figure A8 shows the analysis between the extent of enhancement on MRI and the amount of low voltage tissue. A positive correlation of $R^2 = 0.61$ was noted.
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Appendix – Figure A1. Example of early DE-MRI acquisition showing a substantial artifact induced by the respiratory navigator placed on the right hemidiaphragm. (A) 2D MRI slice from DE-MRI scan. (B) Maximum intensity projection (MIP) of segmented 2D slices. (C) MRI images as volume rendered three dimensional model. (D) Electroanatomic map acquired during invasive EP study. When present, the artifact was primarily localized to the right pulmonary veins and varied substantially in intensity. Despite the presence of an artifact, there is still a relationship between the color MRI (C) and the electroanatomic map (D). The patient shown has minimal enhancement.

Appendix – Figure A2. Example of DE-MRI without navigator induced artifact. 2D MRI slice from DE-MRI scan. In contrast to the image shown in Figure A2, there is no visible navigator artifact on the right side. The patient shown has minimal enhancement.
Appendix – Figure A3. Data flow of the semi-automated algorithm used for detection of abnormal enhancement. The input slices from the delayed enhanced scan were windowed and cropped. The epicardial and endocardial borders were then manually segmented. The algorithm then automatically selected a threshold intensity which was likely to correspond to the enhanced/fibrotic voxels of the LA by estimating the mean value and standard deviation of the lower region of the pixel intensity histogram. The threshold cutoff was chosen manually at two to four standard deviations above the mean for the lower histogram region and assessed by an observer for appropriateness. If the algorithm has over estimated or underestimated the degree of enhancement, the cutoff was adjusted appropriately.
Appendix – Figure A4. Bland-Altman plot for the inter-observer agreement of detected LA wall enhancement in 43 patients. The quantified inter-observer values were taken from the analysis of two reviewers (Reviewer 1 and Reviewer 2).

Appendix – Figure A5. Bland-Altman plot for the intra-observer variability of fibrosis detection (left) and LA volume segmentation (right) in ten patients. The quantified intra-observer values were taken from separate analyses performed by the same reviewer (Reviewer 1).
Appendix – Figure A6. Example of a strong qualitative MRI correlation with EA map, posterior (PA) view.

Appendix – Figure A7. Posterior wall projections of DE-MRI volume model (left) and electroanatomic (EA) map acquired with the CARTO system (right). In both images, the a 9 box grid was used for qualitative scoring. 18 separate regions covering the posterior wall and, the interatrial septum, and the anterior wall were evaluated. Both the DE-MRI models and the EA maps were scored from 0 (no abnormal enhancement/disease) to 3 (severe enhancement/disease). The overall score was a sum of all nine regions for both the posterior wall and the septum.
Appendix – Figure A8. Pairwise regression between the extent of enhancement (MRI) and the amount of low voltage tissue (graded by blinded reviewers). 

\[ R^2 = 0.61 \]